Site Ref: S55 Land off Ashland Road West, Sutton in Ashfield

Area (Hectares): 10.34 Yield: 211 Site Source: Site submitted by owner/agent

Suitability The site may be suitable											
Character, Land Use & Location The site may be suitable											
Location: In urban fringe					PDL/Greenfield:						
Area Character: Countryside and residential						Current Use:		Vacant			
Planning Status: Planning permission for residential refused					Setting:	area	to thr	de - bound by th ree sides and Brid e north.			
<u>Policy</u>	Policy The site may be suitable										
Allocated Site: EV2; Countryside - The Countryside designation will need to be reviewed Local Plan process.					Other:						
				Conflicting Issues:		Yes - Development would be contrary to current planning policy.					
Access to Services The site may be suitable Within 800m or 10 mins walking Within 30 mins travel by public transport											
Primary school: GP:	Y. N	es o	Bus stop: Cash Machine o Post Office:	or	Yes Yes	Secondary scho Further Educatio Supermarket:	on:	Yes Yes Yes	Retail Area: Hospital: Employment:		Yes No Yes
Transport Node: Over 1km from a major public transport node					blic	Proximity to Dist Shopping centre					
Access to Open	Space										
Site within 500m of Natural Open Space?:YesSite within 200m Public Open Space?:YesSite within 300m Parks and Rec. Grounds?:Yes				Site within 200m accessible open space?: Yes Does site affect existing open space?: No							
Physical Constra Highway Access No Constraints	Issues	5:	e site may be su ant constraints	itable	;	Contaminated L No Known Conta	amina				
Topography Constraints: No - Gently sloping from south to north				Access to Utilities?: Unknown - Adjacent residential area.							
Agricultural Land Quality: Grade 4 (Poor)				Strategic Flood Risk Assesment: Known Issues - Potential flooding from culverted watercourse (SFRA Ref; S22, S25). A further flood risk assessment would be necessary before development would be allowed on this site.			(SFRĂ ood risk ssary				

Additional Comments:

Planning permission for residential development was refused in 1989, the main reason being because there was an adequate supply of land allocated for housing at that time.

Effect on the landscape would be Low - Minor alteration to key elements, features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource such that post development the baseline situation will be largely unchanged, despite discernible differences.

Overall Final Conclusion: Proposed Local Plan Housing Allocation

POLICY COMMENTS:

The site is currentlydesignated Countryside. It is adjacent to a residential area and is well contained, being bound by the urban area on three sides and Brierley Forest Park to the north. At the time of writing there is a planning application pending for residential development. Given the developer activity on site, it is considered to be deliverable within 5 years.

PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS

The site is identified in the Ashfield Strategic Flood Risk Assessment as a potential flood risk area due to the adequacy of the existing drainage system. A flood risk assessment has been undertaken which identifies mitigation

Site Ref: S55	Land off Ashland Road We Area (Hectares): 10.34 Yield: 211	•	field ubmitted by owner/agent				
Neighbour Issues: Site Apparatus:	None None	Site within a flood zone?:	Outside Floodzones 2 & 3				
Impact on Landscape & Biodiversity The site may be suitable							
Effect on Built Heritag	je: None	Natural Features:	Yes - Hedgerow on part of the site. Landscape CA DPZ: ML021; Landscape condition: moderate; Landscape strength: moderate; Strategy:enhance				
Impact on views:	Yes See additional comments.	Impact on existing recreational uses:	No				
		Protected Species/ Habitats:	No - No international, national or local designations. No known protected species at time of assessment. Further surveys may be required at a later stage. North West corner of the site is adjacent to a SINC; EV6/95; Huthwaite Park Marshy Grassland				
	The site could be available w The site is economically viab	-	housing				
Ownership Constraint	ts: No ownership constraints 0-5	Legal Issues:	No Known Legal Constraints 0-5				

Ownership Constraints:	No ownership constraints 0-5 years Land owner has indicated that the site is available.	Legal Issues:	No Known Legal Constraints 0-5 years			
Timescale:	No other constraints 0-5 years - Proposed for allocation.					
Viability Comments:	The Council commissioned Nationwide CIL Services to undertake a Local Plan & CIL Viability Assessment in December 2013. The Study undertook a comprehensive approaviability, assessing the viability of individual residential development site set out in the Loc Plan Submission Document. Based upon the methodology and assumptions with the S it concluded that only one site demonstrated significant negative viability in the 0-5 year delivery period. Given the range of sites covered by the Study it is considered that all s put forward within Ashfield are viable unless it has been identified that there are significant abnormal costs identified with the site in question.					

Additional Comments:

Planning permission for residential development was refused in 1989, the main reason being because there was an adequate supply of land allocated for housing at that time.

Effect on the landscape would be Low - Minor alteration to key elements, features or characteristics of the local or wider landscape resource such that post development the baseline situation will be largely unchanged, despite discernible differences.

Overall Final Conclusion: Proposed Local Plan Housing Allocation

POLICY COMMENTS:

The site is currentlydesignated Countryside. It is adjacent to a residential area and is well contained, being bound by the urban area on three sides and Brierley Forest Park to the north. At the time of writing there is a planning application pending for residential development. Given the developer activity on site, it is considered to be deliverable within 5 years.

PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS

The site is identified in the Ashfield Strategic Flood Risk Assessment as a potential flood risk area due to the adequacy of the existing drainage system. A flood risk assessment has been undertaken which identifies mitigation

